Journal of Economics and Management Sciences; Vol. 8, No. 2; 2025
ISSN 2576-3008 E-ISSN 2576-3016
https://doi.org/10.30560/jems.v8n2p99

Research on the Impact of Algorithmic Management on Employee Work Behavior in Platform Enterprises

Zhang Xianghan[1] & Li Zhengwei[2]

[1] Guangzhou College of Technology and Business, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

[2] Suzhou Institute of Trade&Commerce, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China

Correspondence: Zhang Xianghan, Guangzhou College of Technology and Business, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. E-mail: zhangxianghan@gzgs.edu.cn, 2006022206@szjm.edu.cn

Received: March 12, 2025; Accepted: March 31, 2025; Published: April 3, 2025

Abstract

This paper, set against the backdrop of platform enterprises, examines the impact of algorithmic management on employee work behavior. Through a literature review and theoretical construction, the study first defines the core concepts of platform enterprises, algorithmic management, and employee work behavior, while outlining related theoretical foundations such as institutional theory, the technology acceptance model, and social exchange theory. Based on this, a conceptual model is developed that describes how algorithmic management influences employee behavior through mediating variables such as trust, sense of control, and performance incentives. The research suggests that while algorithmic management enhances work efficiency and enables personalized incentives, it may also trigger issues such as excessive monitoring, privacy infringements, and a decline in employee autonomy. Finally, the paper discusses how platform enterprises should balance technological applications with humanistic care in practice, offering theoretical insights and references for future empirical research.

Keywords: platform enterprises, algorithmic management, employee work behavior, conceptual model, management practice

1. Introduction

In the digital economy, platform enterprises have rapidly emerged by leveraging internet technology and big data to create open information platforms that efficiently match supply with demand, forming unique ecosystems. Meanwhile, algorithmic management employs AI, machine learning, and big data to monitor and manage employee work behavior in real time, thereby boosting operational efficiency. However, while it enables objective performance evaluations and task allocations that enhance overall efficiency and unlock employee potential, an overreliance on automated decision-making can restrict autonomy, compromise privacy, and increase stress.This study draws on institutional theory, the technology acceptance model, and social exchange theory to construct a multi-level conceptual model that examines both the positive and negative impacts of algorithmic management on employee work behavior. After defining core concepts and reviewing domestic and international literature, the paper proposes conceptual hypotheses through theoretical deduction and case analysis. Finally, it offers practical countermeasures for integrating technology-driven initiatives with humanistic care during the digital transformation of platform enterprises[1].

2. Relevant Concepts and Research Status

2.1 The Connotation and Development Trends of Platform Enterprises

As a significant economic subject in the digital economy era, platform enterprises represent a profound transformation in modern business models and management concepts. Their essence lies in building an open, shared, and interactive information platform that integrates resources from both the supply and demand sides, thereby breaking traditional industrial boundaries and enabling cross-border integration and value co-creation[2]. Leveraging advanced technologies such as big data, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence, platform enterprises can precisely capture market dynamics and user needs, continuously optimizing service processes and product designs to create an ecosystem characterized by strong network externalities. In this process, companies not only focus on technological innovation but also on establishing comprehensive mechanisms for user trust and feedback, ensuring that all participants collaborate closely on a win-win basis. Currently, as technological innovations and market conditions evolve, platform enterprises are exhibiting trends toward ecosystem

development, intelligence, and globalization. On one hand, as these companies expand their business domains, they integrate across sectors to build diversified business models and form industrial networks with both competitive advantages and synergistic effects. On the other hand, data-driven intelligent upgrades promote precise marketing and customized services while enhancing overall operational efficiency and customer satisfaction. Moreover, the introduction of decentralized technologies such as blockchain provides a more transparent and secure management mechanism, thereby strengthening user trust and market stability. Overall, in driving the digital transformation of the economy, platform enterprises not only lead the forefront of technological innovation but also offer new pathways for the transformation and upgrading of traditional industries, gradually emerging as a major force in future high-quality economic development[3].

2.2 The Concept, Characteristics, and Evolution of Algorithmic Management

Algorithmic management, as an emerging digital management model, is reshaping internal enterprise management by utilizing advanced technologies—such as big data, machine learning, and artificial intelligence—to monitor, evaluate, and regulate employee work behavior in real time. Its core is to standardize and streamline decision-making processes using algorithms, which enable managers to extract key information from vast data sets and formulate more precise management measures[4]. The characteristics of algorithmic management are mainly threefold:

Efficiency: Through automated data processing and feedback mechanisms, it facilitates instantaneous monitoring and adjustment of employee behavior.

Objectivity: By relying on quantitative metrics and predictive models, it reduces the influence of subjective human judgment.

Standardization: It establishes uniform management rules and performance evaluation systems to promote standardized internal processes.

As technology advances and application scenarios expand, algorithmic management is evolving from a tool that initially served as an aid to decision-making into a core mechanism integral to various aspects of enterprise operations, including employee recruitment, performance evaluation, and task allocation. However, while it enhances efficiency and competitiveness, it also raises ethical and legal issues such as privacy concerns, information security risks, and limitations on employee autonomy. Future developments will therefore require not only continuous technological upgrades but also simultaneous improvements in institutional design and ethical standards, aiming to balance corporate benefits with employee rights and drive management towards a more scientific, transparent, and humane direction[5].

2.3 Theoretical Perspectives and Influencing Factors of Employee Work Behavior

Employee work behavior is a critical factor in organizational performance and development, and its theoretical study spans multiple disciplines. Social psychology posits that employee attitudes, emotions, and cognitive structures significantly affect work behavior; organizational behavior research emphasizes the impact of organizational structure, leadership styles, and team interactions. Motivation theories stress the balance between intrinsic motivation and external incentives, suggesting that appropriate compensation, rewards, and promotion systems can effectively boost employee enthusiasm. Humanistic management theory advocates focusing on self-actualization and career development by constructing people-centered management models that unlock employee potential[6]. Additionally, individual traits (such as ability, personality, and values) and external work environments (including organizational culture, work atmosphere, technological tools, and information management practices) are key factors influencing employee behavior. Recently, digital transformation and the application of algorithmic management have further enriched this field. While data-driven performance evaluation, task allocation, and behavior monitoring improve work efficiency, they also make the regulation of employee behavior more precise and complex. Integrating these theoretical perspectives and influencing factors not only aids in understanding the internal mechanisms of employee behavior but also provides a theoretical basis and practical references for enterprises to formulate scientific management strategies, optimize incentive measures, and create a positive work environment[7].

2.4 The Current Status of Domestic and International Research and Existing Research Gaps

Scholars both domestically and internationally have produced a wealth of theoretical and empirical research on algorithmic management and employee work behavior in the context of platform enterprises. International studies often focus on the effects of algorithms in enterprise operations, technological implementations, and data-driven decision-making mechanisms, emphasizing the positive impact of algorithms on optimizing organizational processes and enhancing performance, while also considering issues such as loss of employee autonomy and

privacy infringement. In contrast, domestic research tends to focus more on the institutional environment, ethical considerations, and the reshaping of labor relations, paying attention to the delineation of rights and responsibilities in algorithmic governance and the adjustment of employee expectations. However, most existing studies rely on case analyses or single theoretical perspectives and lack a comprehensive, cross-disciplinary, multi-dimensional exploration[8]. The common research gaps include:The interaction mechanisms between algorithmic management and employee work behavior have not yet been fully developed into a complete theoretical framework.Research on the mediating effects of algorithmic management on employee incentives, trust building, and behavior regulation remains fragmented.The integration of the unique operational models of platform enterprises with algorithmic management practices in the context of digital transformation has not been sufficiently analyzed.To address these gaps, future research should adopt an interdisciplinary approach to construct an integrated model that encompasses technological, ethical, and institutional factors, and empirically test the intrinsic relationships among these variables. This will provide a more scientific basis for decision-making in balancing technological applications and employee rights.

3. Theoretical Framework and Conceptual Model

3.1 Definition of Key Concepts

In this paper, it is first necessary to clearly define the core concepts of platform enterprises, algorithmic management, and employee work behavior to provide a foundation for the subsequent construction of the theoretical framework and conceptual model. Platform enterprises refer to a new type of business organization that relies on modern information technology to build open and shared digital platforms, enabling efficient matching of supply and demand, resources, and information. Their characteristics include network externalities, ecosystem integration, and data-driven operations. Algorithmic management is a management model in which enterprises utilize technologies such as big data, artificial intelligence, and machine learning to monitor and make decisions in real time regarding employee behavior, performance evaluations, and task allocation through automated and standardized methods. This model, which is data-dependent, aims to minimize the interference of subjective human judgment in order to achieve efficient and objective management outcomes; however, it may also lead to issues such as privacy infringement and reduced employee autonomy. Employee work behavior encompasses various aspects of employees' attitudes, behaviors, interaction patterns, and emotional responses during work[9]. Its formation is influenced not only by individual traits and psychological expectations but also by organizational culture, leadership styles, and external environments. By defining these concepts, the paper seeks to explore how algorithmic management in platform enterprises can enhance organizational efficiency through its impact on employee work behavior, while also revealing the inherent contradictions and conflicts. Clarifying each core concept helps eliminate conceptual confusion across different research perspectives and provides a solid theoretical basis for constructing a comprehensive model that integrates technology, management, and humanistic care, thereby laying the groundwork for subsequent hypothesis formulation and empirical research.

3.2 Theoretical Foundations and Perspective Selection

In constructing the conceptual model of this paper, multiple theoretical foundations and perspectives have been selected to comprehensively explain the impact mechanism of algorithmic management on employee work behavior in platform enterprises. First, institutional theory provides the analytical framework for this paper by emphasizing the roles of the external institutional environment, internal organizational norms, and power structures in the process of technology adoption. This perspective helps reveal how platform enterprises, under the dual influence of institutional constraints and incentive mechanisms, develop unique management models. Second, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) offers theoretical support for understanding employees' perceptions and acceptance of algorithmic management systems by exploring the perceived usefulness and ease of use of these new technologies, thereby revealing how technological factors affect employees' willingness to use the system and their subsequent behavioral responses. Additionally, social exchange theory, which considers organizational interactions and interpersonal relationships, explains the exchange relationships between employees and the organization based on trust, reciprocity, and emotional bonds[10]. This theory suggests that while algorithmic management can enhance performance incentives, it may also undermine employee autonomy and increase work-related stress. By combining these theoretical perspectives, the paper posits that the effectiveness of algorithmic management results from the interaction of multiple factors, including technological support and innovation as well as a balance between institutional arrangements and humanistic care. Based on this, an interdisciplinary and multi-level conceptual model is constructed to illustrate how algorithmic management in platform enterprises influences employee perceptions, emotions, and behavioral feedback. This model not only enhances management efficiency but also triggers potential negative effects, providing both theoretical guidance and practical insights for balancing technological applications with employee rights during digital transformation.

3.3 Construction of the Conceptual Model and Hypothesis Proposition

Based on the aforementioned theoretical foundations and perspectives, this paper constructs a multi-level conceptual model to reveal the internal mechanism by which algorithmic management affects employee work behavior in platform enterprises. The model primarily includes four core variables: algorithmic management, employee technology acceptance, trust, and work behavior performance. Specifically, algorithmic management, through real-time data collection, performance evaluation, and task allocation, directly influences employees' work processes and emotional experiences; simultaneously, employees' perceptions of the system's usefulness and ease of use further affect their trust in the system, which in turn moderates their work enthusiasm and innovative behavior. Conversely, unreasonable or non-transparent algorithmic management may restrict employee autonomy, increase work pressure, and lead to the accumulation of negative emotions, thereby suppressing overall work performance.

Based on the above analysis, the following hypotheses are proposed:Hypothesis 1: The level of algorithmic management in platform enterprises is positively correlated with employee work performance.Hypothesis 2: Employees' perceptions of the usefulness and ease of use of the algorithmic management system mediate the relationship between algorithmic management and employee work behavior.Hypothesis 3: Employees' trust in the algorithmic management system partially mediates the positive effects of algorithmic management on employee work enthusiasm and innovative behavior.Hypothesis 4: The transparency and fairness of algorithmic management moderate the relationship between algorithmic management and employee work behavior.

Through theoretical deduction and empirical testing of these hypotheses, the paper aims to provide theoretical support and practical insights for platform enterprises seeking to balance technological applications and employee rights during digital transformation.

3.4 Conceptual Hypotheses and Theoretical Deduction

Based on the aforementioned conceptual model and theoretical foundations, several conceptual hypotheses are proposed, and their theoretical logic is thoroughly deduced. First, following institutional theory and the Technology Acceptance Model, algorithmic management directly influences employee work behavior through real-time data monitoring, performance evaluation, and task allocation, thereby enhancing overall work efficiency and performance. Thus, it is hypothesized that the level of algorithmic management is positively correlated with employee performance. Second, employees' perceptions of the usefulness and ease of use of the algorithmic management system are key factors in their acceptance of new technology, trust in the system, and active feedback. This process reflects the fundamental principles of the Technology Acceptance Model, leading to the hypothesis that positive perceptions mediate the relationship between algorithmic management and employee behavior. Additionally, based on social exchange theory, transparent and fair algorithmic management can enhance employees' trust in the organization, and trust is a crucial factor in stimulating work enthusiasm and innovative behavior; hence, a mediating effect of trust is posited. Finally, considering that while algorithmic management improves efficiency, it may also lead to negative effects such as restricted employee autonomy and increased psychological pressure, it is further hypothesized that the negative effects of algorithmic management will, to some extent, moderate the overall impact. These conceptual hypotheses form a multi-level, interactive theoretical framework that provides the theoretical support needed to explore the comprehensive impact of algorithmic management on employee work behavior in platform enterprises and guides subsequent empirical research.

4. Research Methodology

This study primarily employs a combination of literature analysis and theoretical deduction, focusing on a conceptual exploration aimed at constructing a theoretical model of the impact of algorithmic management on employee work behavior in platform enterprises. First, by systematically reviewing relevant domestic and international literature, the core concepts and theoretical foundations of platform enterprises, algorithmic management, and employee work behavior are summarized. From multiple perspectives—including institutional theory, the Technology Acceptance Model, and social exchange theory—the existing research findings are comprehensively synthesized and comparatively analyzed to clarify the connections and differences among various theories. Second, the case study method is used to select representative cases from platform enterprises in real-world scenarios. Their algorithmic management practices and employee work feedback are initially interpreted to extract universally applicable and referential influencing factors. Through an in-depth analysis of classic literature and case data, several conceptual hypotheses are formulated, and the relationships between variables are theoretically deduced, with the aim of laying a solid foundation for subsequent empirical research. In addition, comparative research methods are applied to analyze the similarities and differences in algorithmic management practices among platform enterprises domestically and internationally. This exploration focuses on

the internal mechanisms of changes in employee behavior under different institutional environments and management models, further validating the rationality of the theoretical model. It should be noted that, as this study primarily focuses on conceptual exploration, the related hypotheses and theoretical deductions have not been validated through large-scale empirical data. Thus, in the limitations section, reflections on sample selection, data sources, and methodological applicability will be discussed, and directions for future multi-angle empirical validation using surveys, interviews, and experimental research will be proposed. Overall, based on literature review, case analysis, and comparative research, this study constructs an interdisciplinary and multi-level theoretical framework that not only reflects the complex relationship between algorithmic management and employee behavior in platform enterprises but also provides theoretical insights and practical references for balancing technological applications with employee rights during digital transformation.

5. Discussion and Theoretical Implications

5.1 Positive Impact of Algorithmic Management on Employee Behavior

With the continuous deepening of digital transformation, the introduction of algorithmic management in platform enterprises has had a significant positive impact on employee behavior. First, algorithmic management achieves real-time monitoring of work performance and behavior through automated data collection and analysis, reducing human interference and making performance evaluations more objective and fair. This objectivity not only enhances employees' recognition of the evaluation results but also increases their trust in the enterprise's management system. Second, algorithmic management provides an immediate feedback mechanism. By meticulously analyzing behavioral data during employees' work processes, it offers personalized suggestions for improvement and development guidance, thereby stimulating employees' intrinsic work motivation. With a clear understanding of their strengths and weaknesses, employees can quickly adjust their work strategies to enhance efficiency and innovation. Furthermore, the precision in task allocation and resource configuration enabled by algorithmic management allows enterprises to better mobilize team resources and optimize internal synergy, thus fostering an environment of efficient collaboration. The data-driven management model also enables the timely identification and rewarding of high-performing employees, creating a positive incentive mechanism that further motivates employees to pursue excellence. Overall, algorithmic management plays a positive role in enhancing work efficiency, promoting individual growth, and optimizing team collaboration, providing strong support for building a scientific, transparent, and incentive-based management system in platform enterprises.

5.2 Negative Impact of Algorithmic Management on Employee Behavior

On the other hand, while algorithmic management demonstrates many advantages in improving management efficiency and objectivity, its negative effects cannot be ignored. First, an overreliance on data and quantitative indicators may overlook individual differences and the complexity of specific contexts, resulting in work evaluations that lack humanistic care and leaving employees feeling that their abilities and situations are not fully understood. Second, strict algorithmic monitoring and real-time feedback can increase employees' psychological stress, subjecting them to high levels of performance pressure over the long term, which may lead to anxiety, resistance, and even burnout. Moreover, because the decision-making process of algorithms often lacks transparency and interpretability, employees may find it difficult to obtain clear feedback on evaluation criteria and decision logic. This not only undermines their trust in the management system but also can lead to dissatisfaction and rebellious sentiments. In addition, although standardized task allocation and performance evaluations improve efficiency, they may, to some extent, weaken employees' autonomy and creativity, potentially restricting individual development. Finally, in practice, algorithmic management may cause managers to rely excessively on data, thereby neglecting emotional communication and interaction with employees, which can affect team cohesion and organizational atmosphere. Overall, platform enterprises need to be wary of the negative effects that algorithmic management may bring. By optimizing systems and incorporating human-centered design, enterprises can balance technological drivers with employees' emotional needs, achieving a win-win situation between management effectiveness and employee well-being.

5.3 Countermeasures and Optimization Suggestions for Management Practice

In response to the potential issues of employee stress, lack of autonomy, and trust crises that may arise alongside improvements in efficiency and performance optimization through algorithmic management, platform enterprises should adopt a series of countermeasures and optimization strategies to ensure both technological application and employee well-being. First, enterprises should increase the transparency and interpretability of algorithmic management by openly disclosing core performance indicators and the rationale behind algorithmic decisions, thereby helping employees clearly understand the system's operational principles and reducing distrust caused by information asymmetry. Second, in performance evaluations and task allocation, it is recommended to build a

diversified evaluation system that combines quantitative data with qualitative assessments, fully considering individual differences and actual work contexts, thus avoiding the rigid management that can result from a sole reliance on data indicators. Additionally, strengthening humanistic management is crucial. Enterprises should establish effective communication mechanisms, regularly organize feedback sessions and interactive exchanges, and promptly listen to employees' opinions and demands to alleviate psychological pressure induced by strict monitoring, subsequently adjusting management strategies based on feedback. Moreover, the introduction of flexible work arrangements and personalized incentive measures—such as flexible working hours, reward mechanisms, and career development plans—can stimulate employees' initiative and innovation. Finally, enterprises should continuously monitor data and evaluate practices, regularly testing and optimizing the effects of algorithmic management to ensure that while pursuing efficiency, employee rights and career growth are fully safeguarded. Through these measures, platform enterprises can achieve an organic balance between management effectiveness and humanistic care during digital transformation, providing solid support for sustainable development.

5.4 Discussion on Theoretical Contributions and Practical Significance

In terms of theoretical contributions, this study introduces institutional theory, the Technology Acceptance Model, and social exchange theory to construct a multi-level and multi-dimensional conceptual model that systematically reveals the mechanism of algorithmic management in platform enterprises. On one hand, the research fills a gap in existing literature by providing a unified explanation for both the positive incentives and negative effects of algorithmic management, proposing a theoretical framework in which algorithmic management influences employee behavior through mediating variables such as technological perceptions, trust, and performance incentives. On the other hand, by integrating interdisciplinary perspectives, the study enriches research on organizational behavior and management innovation in the context of digital transformation, offering theoretical hypotheses and verification paths for future empirical research.In practical terms, this paper offers concrete guidance for platform enterprises on how to balance technological applications and employee rights in digital management practices. By deeply analyzing the potential positive and negative effects of algorithmic management, enterprises can optimize performance evaluations, task allocations, and employee incentive mechanisms to leverage the advantages of data-driven management in improving efficiency and fairness, while effectively avoiding the negative psychological effects caused by information asymmetry and excessive monitoring. Meanwhile, the management countermeasures and institutional improvement suggestions proposed in the study can help enterprises build a digital management model centered on employee development and humanistic care, further enhancing organizational competitiveness and market adaptability. Overall, the study has both theoretical innovation and practical applicability, providing important guidance for platform enterprises to achieve efficient and harmonious development in the new technological landscape.

6. Conclusion

This paper examines how algorithmic management affects employee work behavior in platform enterprises by constructing a multi-dimensional conceptual model. It reveals that while algorithmic management boosts efficiency, enhances individual potential, and optimizes resource allocation through real-time monitoring and performance evaluations, excessive reliance on data and a lack of humanistic care can reduce autonomy, increase stress, and weaken trust. Drawing on institutional theory, the Technology Acceptance Model, and social exchange theory, the study outlines influence pathways and proposes hypotheses and management strategies to help balance technological application with employee welfare during digital transformation. Although based on literature review and theoretical analysis without large-scale empirical validation, this framework offers valuable guidance for future research and practical management.

References

[1] Wu, X., et al. (2023). The effect of algorithmic management and workers' coping behavior: An exploratory qualitative research of Chinese food-delivery platform. *Tourism Management, 96*, Article 104716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2023.104716

[2] Benlian, A., et al. (2022). Algorithmic management: Bright and dark sides, practical implications, and research opportunities. *Business & Information Systems Engineering, 64*(6), 825–839. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-022-00764-7

[3] Möhlmannn, M., Salge, C. A. de L., & Marabelli, M. (2023). Algorithm sensemaking: How platform workers make sense of algorithmic management. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 24*(1), 35–64. https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00781

[4] Shanshi, L., Jialiang, P., & Chuyan, Z. (2021). Is the platform work autonomous? The effect of online labor platform algorithm management on job autonomy. *Foreign Economics & Management, 43*(2), 51–67. [No DOI available]

[5] Jarrahi, M. H., et al. (2021). Algorithmic management in a work context. *Big Data & Society, 8*(2), Article 20539517211020332. https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517211020332

[6] Kinowska, H., & Sienkiewicz, Ł. J. (2023). Influence of algorithmic management practices on workplace well-being—Evidence from European organisations. *Information Technology & People, 36*(8), 21–42. https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-03-2022-0218

[7] Kinowska, H., & Sienkiewicz, Ł. J. (2023). Influence of algorithmic management practices on workplace well-being—Evidence from European organisations. *Information Technology & People, 36*(8), 21–42. https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-03-2022-0218

[8] Stark, D., & Pais, I. (2021). Algorithmic management in the platform economy. *Sociologica, 14*(3), 47–72. https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1971-8853/12229

[9] Lang, J. J., et al. (2023). Are algorithmically controlled gig workers deeply burned out? An empirical study on employee work engagement. *BMC Psychology, 11*(1), Article 354. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01376-z

[10] Duggan, J., et al. (2020). Algorithmic management and app-work in the gig economy: A research agenda for employment relations and HRM. *Human Resource Management Journal, 30*(1), 114–132. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12258