The Impact of Typical Cemetery on Groundwater Using both Geophysical Techniques and Physicochemical Analyses of Water in South-South, Nigeria
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Abstract

This research work focuses on the impact of cemetery on the groundwater with respect to time. It was carried out using Joint Geophysical Methods and Hydro physicochemical analysis. In addition to these methods this study went further to apply Multivariate Statistical Analyses (Water Quality Index, Principle Component Analysis, Cluster Analysis) in the investigation. This research work was done in Third Cemetery, New Benin, Benin City. Though there are three major cemeteries in Benin Metropolis Third Cemetery was selected for this purpose. In Benin City and Nigeria in general, the major cemeteries are located close to human residential areas and virtually all the populace within this locality depends on groundwater as the primary water source for various domestic purposes. The spread of electrodes reaches a maximum of 230m which covers the entire length of the cemetery. Electrodes (41) were needed in this research to generate data and the result compared with the physico-chemical analysis of groundwater. The field work covered a time lapse of six months (June, 2017 to December, 2017). Toxic chemicals that may be released into groundwater include substances that were used in embalming and burial practices as well as varnishes, sealers and preservatives and metal component of ornaments used on wooden coffins (Jonker and Olivier, 2012). Wood preservatives and paints used in coffin construction contain compounds such as copper, naphthalene and ammoniac or chromated copper arsenate (Spongberg and Becks, 2000). Paints contain lead, mercury, cadmium, and chromium; arsenic is used as a pigment, wood preservative and anti-fouling ingredient while barium is used as a pigment and a corrosion inhibitor (Katz and Salem, 2005; Huang et al., 2010; Jonker and Olivier, 2012).
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1. Introduction

Agriculture, industry and landfills are commonly believed to be major anthropogenic sources of environmental contamination. Little attention has been given to cemeteries as possible pollution sources. The most common practice for disposal of dead bodies is inhumation in soil, which favours interactions with the surrounding environment. However when the burial ground is located where hydrogeological, geological and climatic conditions not favourable to the process, contamination of soils and groundwater may occur. The most critical parameters when assessing the pollution potential of a burial ground are inhumation depth, geological formation, depth of the water table, density of inhumations, soil type and climate.

Studies carried out from the contamination arising from cemeteries originated from minerals that are released by burial loads (Borsted and Niquette, 2000). The minerals that are needed in coffin-making may corrode or degrade releasing harmful toxic substances (Spongberg and Becks, 2000). These may be transported from the graves through seepage and diffuse into surrounding soils. From there they may leach into groundwater and become potential health risk to the residents on areas surrounding the cemetery (Jonker and Olivier, 2012; Engelbrecht, 2010; Dent and Knight, 1998; Kim et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2009; Canninga and Szmigina, 2010).

Most existing cemeteries were sited without thinking about the potential risks to the local environment or community (WHO Nancy Project Report-TARGET 23, 2000). Interment of bodies in cemeteries remains a widespread practice and the only alternative endpoint to dead bodies in Nigeria. In Nigeria, this practice had not been perceived as having a significant potential contaminant effect in the environment and especially the groundwater component. However, the implications of land utilization for burial of dead human bodies in the form
of cemeteries and many cases associated with coffin and caskets used for interment of remains has received no consideration in Nigeria. According to DOC (2016), cemetery sites/graveyards have the potential to impact on the local water environment and in particular, the groundwater underlying such sites.

The identification of individual graves through geophysical techniques is relatively problematic and thus in the prospection of cemeteries and graves there are no rules or specific guidelines. The success of such a survey depends on the conservation of the graves, the various artifacts that may accompany a burial, the depth and dimensions of the burial, the environmental noise, the geology, etc.

2. Study Area

This study was conducted in Benin City located in south-south geopolitical zone of Nigeria. Benin City is the capital of Edo State, bounded by latitudes 06° 06’ N, 06° 30’ N and longitudes 005° 30’ E, 005° 45’ E and an area of about 500 square kilometers. The city is located within the rain forest ecological zone with annual mean temperature of 27.5 °C (Ikhuoria, 1987) and an annual mean rain fall of about 2095 mm (Ikhile and Olorode, 2011).

Three cemeteries namely First, Second and Third cemeteries are located within this city. The Third cemetery which has existed for over 50 year was considered for this study because of its proximity to human residents. The cemetery which is the biggest among the cemeteries in Benin City covers an area of about 5.167 ha (Ibhadode et al., 2017). Three sampling sites in the periphery of the cemetery were used for the collection of water samples.

Site 1, S1, SAN from residence opposite the gate of the cemetery. (S1 – N06° 21.354 E005° 37.600)

Site 2, S2, from New Benin Police Station that was carved out of the cemetery.(S2 - N06° 21.357 E005° 37.721)

Site 3, S3, Iyaba Street, behind the cemetery (S3 - N06° 21.263  E005° 37.734), and a Reference Site located at Isiohor, 4 Km away from the cemetery. Geological sitting of Benin City is underlain by sedimentary formation described by Short and Stauble (1967).

2.1 Physical Characteristics of Study Area

The study area is Benin City, the capital of Edo State. It is situated in the mid-western part (South-South) of Nigeria (Fig. 1)
3. Methodology

Electrical resistivity imaging data was acquired twice using Pasi Earth Resistivity Meter. The second data set was acquired six months after the first one. The data coverage was made over an area defined by rectangular loop measuring 30m by 230m in the first survey while in the second survey was 30m by 200m. The electrical resistivity data was collected in seven equidistant lines as 2-D data set using Wenner-Schlumberger Array at 5m interval in both periods. The first survey, the inter-electrode spacing in each line was 10m while in the second survey was 5m.

The processed data depicted clearly the locations of low resistivity which occur at depths below 5.19m and 2.60m in the first and second surveys that are most likely to indicate accumulation of leachate plumes. The electrical resistivity data collected in parallel equidistant lines was processed to obtain geoelectric models using res2dinv and the second survey data set was also merged and inverted as a single 3-D data set using Res3dinv software, which is then visualized in detail using Voxler 4.0.

The plumes labeled L1-PL1, L1-PL2, L2-PL1, L3-PL1, L3-PL2, L4-PL and L7-PL observed in June 2017 2-D ERT images between the depth 5.19m and 17.3m were also observed in the Dec 2017 ERT Images. Thus, the areal extents and 3-D Models of these plumes were done in Res3dinv window and Voxler4.0 window.

![Figure 2. Surfer Plot of 3rd Cemetery Leachate Plume Locations](image)

4. Discussion of Geophysical Tomography

The water table likely occurs between the very coarse sand and medium sand. As leachate plume is detected in the medium sand, water in the well sorted coarse sand will be contaminated. The field works were conducted in two sessions with a time interval of six (6) months. The first ERT survey was conducted in June 2017 and the second ERT survey was conducted in December 2017.

The rate of migration depends on the permeability of the soil, incline topography, depressions created by decomposed corpses and collapsed burial materials. All these aid infiltration into the subsurface.
The geoelectric models obtained for the first and second surveys displayed leachate plumes starting from the laterite (the burial environment) down to the sandy formation (the regional water supply source). The leachate plumes presence in the sand bed are modeled and described as shown in the 2-D and 3-D displays (Fig. 3 to Fig. 8, Idehen, 2018).
Voxler 4.0 command was used to obtain volume of the subsurface sediment contaminated by leachate plume. The volumetric analysis of the plume zones indicate that of the 75,231 m³ of the subsurface imaged, 6,322 m³ is the zone contaminated by leachate plume that is 8.4% of the earth volume investigated contain leachate plume.
Figure 7. 3-D Resistivity distributions of Leachate plume zones

Table 1. Summary of variations in the physicochemical variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
<th>Site 3</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>NSDWQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>118.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>160.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>67.43</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>67.04</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>103.80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>25.56</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>44.25</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>83.75</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>93.23</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>53.65</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium carbonate</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>24.40</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>50.80</td>
<td>45.11</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>44.52</td>
<td>23.78</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>38.57</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>37.60</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>71.43</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>48.88</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>41.60</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>71.43</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>115.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>81.65</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>61.88</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>81.65</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>70.71</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODs</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>56.88</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


All variables except pH and EC were measured in mg/l; EC was measured in µS/cm
In line with the descriptive and multivariate analyses adopted in this study, certain defined differences were recorded when the groundwater quality at the cemetery peripheral was compared to the reference site. High value magnitudes were obtained in groundwater samples obtained from cemetery peripheral compared to the reference. The likely implications of low pH values should be of concern, many metals including (heavy metals) stay dissolved in low pH (Winter et al., 1998) hence the availabilities which for some heavy metals (Pb, Hg, Cr, Mn, Cd, Cu, Ni) are deleterious to human health is enhance (Hammer and Hammer, 2004; Bakare-Odunola, 2005). Cr has been identified as carcinogenic agents, Cd &Mn as nephrotoxic agents, Hg as nephrotoxic and neurotoxic, and Pb as neurotoxic and enzyme inhibitor (NIS, 2007; Ernest, 2010). Generally the levels of all parameters with defined standard according to Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ) complied favourably across the sites.

Figure 8. PCA loading across principle component (PC) 1, 2 & 3.

Figure 9. Plot of PCA loadings on parameters characterized in groundwater samples obtained from the various sites
Sites 1 and 3 recorded the highest levels in gross variation of analyzed parameters when compared to the reference site. The grouping of the sites as captured by dendogram implies that sites 1 and 3 share the same aquifer which is likely to be partitioned from site 2.

The common parameters influenced by decomposing activities in cemeteries include pH, BOD$_5$, ammoniacal nitrogen, DO, EC, TOC, COD, Cl, NO$_3$, SO$_4$, P, Na, K, Ca and Fe (Üçisik & Rushbrook 1998; Young et al., 2002; Sawyer et al., 2003; Tredoux et al., 2004). With the exception of pH, DO, SO$_4$ and Fe the other parameters...
including Cl, NO₃, Na, and K were generally higher in the groundwater samples obtained from sites at cemetery peripheral when compared to the reference site.

Tredoux et al., (2004) suggested the inclusion of Mn, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn at high risk sites; these heavy metals especially Pb, Mn, Cu, Ni and Zn were the most influencing parameters related to sites 1 & 3. These changes together with other variations discussed above can be seen as clear indications of the impact of the decomposing activities in the cemetery upon the quality of the water in aquifer underlain the area. In an attempt to further assess the impact of common contaminants arising from decomposing activities in cemeteries, the WQI was computed restricting the parameters to BOD₅, Cl, NO₃, SO₄, Na and K. Considering these variables, the computed WQI values scaled above the score of 100 for all sites except reference site. The high values of WQI obtained from these sites were primarily factored by BOD₅ loads. In general, defined variations were observed when the parameters characterized in the groundwater samples were compared between the reference site and sites at cemetery peripheral.

5. Conclusion

The study clearly revealed that the status of the groundwater at the vicinity of Third Cemetery is fit or suitable for drinking and other domestic purposes though with some degree of contaminations. Under favourable hydrological and geological conditions, the plumes delineated from the Electrical Resistivity Imaging will slowly migrate into the groundwater. The maximum migration rates in the vertical and horizontal directions are 4.1 cm/day and 32.8 cm/day respectively.
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