

A Study on the Integration Pathways of Lifelong Learning and Ideological-Political Education Empowered by Artificial Intelligence

Sun Zhila¹ & Lai Lu²

¹ Geely University of China, China

² Wuhou Community Education College, China

Correspondence: Sun Zhila, Geely University of China, Chengdu, Sichuan, China.

Received: June 12, 2025; Accepted: June 30, 2025; Published: July 2, 2025

Abstract

With the rapid advancement of information technology, artificial intelligence (AI) has permeated all sectors of society, profoundly transforming the ways in which individuals learn, work, and live. The concept of lifelong learning has increasingly taken root, emerging as a necessary strategy for individuals to adapt to a rapidly changing society. Ideological and political education (IPE), as a critical component in cultivating socialist builders and successors, is encountering unprecedented opportunities and challenges in the new era. This paper conducts an in-depth exploration of the value implications of integrating lifelong learning and IPE under the empowerment of AI. It proposes specific integration strategies from multiple dimensions, including educational philosophy, resource allocation, pedagogical methods, and evaluation systems. The aim is to leverage the strengths of AI to foster the coordinated development of lifelong learning and IPE, ultimately contributing to the cultivation of a new generation of talents who possess both solid academic knowledge and high moral integrity.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, lifelong learning, ideological and political education, integration pathways

1. Introduction

Xi Jinping pointed out, “Artificial intelligence is a strategic technology leading the current round of scientific and technological revolution and industrial transformation, with a strong spillover and driving effect—serving as a ‘leading goose’.”[1] This important assertion profoundly reveals the critical role and far-reaching impact of AI in driving transformative changes across various sectors of society. In January 2025, the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China and the State Council issued the Plan for Building a Leading Country in Education (2024–2035), which explicitly proposed the “deep integration of ideological and political work with information technology.”[2] As an integral component of China's educational system, ideological and political education (IPE) bears the sacred mission of shaping correct worldviews, outlooks on life, and value systems. In the context of the new era, IPE is confronted with a range of challenges, such as ideological fluctuations arising from diversified information sources and the growing demand for personalized learning experiences. Enhancing the effectiveness and appeal of IPE to better align with the developmental needs of the times has thus become an urgent issue requiring resolution. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into lifelong learning and IPE offers a novel approach to addressing these challenges. With its robust capabilities in data processing, intelligent analysis, and personalized recommendation, AI can enrich lifelong learning by providing abundant and accessible educational resources and diverse learning modalities. Simultaneously, AI can introduce innovative pedagogical models and instructional methods into IPE, thereby improving its overall quality and efficacy. Therefore, exploring the pathways for integrating AI-empowered lifelong learning with ideological and political education holds significant theoretical and practical value.[3]

2. The Value Implications of Integrating Lifelong Learning and Ideological-Political Education Empowered by Artificial Intelligence

Xi Jinping emphasized the need to “employ new media and new technologies to invigorate ideological and political work, promoting the deep integration of its traditional strengths with information technology, thereby enhancing its relevance and appeal in the current era” [4]. As the new generation of information technologies continues to profoundly reshape the landscape of education, the organic integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into ideological and political education (IPE) has emerged as a defining feature of educational modernization. The empowerment of lifelong learning and IPE through AI is not merely a superficial convergence of technologies; rather, it carries profound and long-term value implications. This integration concerns not only the growth and

development of individuals, but also the broader trajectory of societal progress and future development. Educators must therefore undertake a comprehensive examination of the value of this integration in terms of aligning with contemporary developmental trends, enhancing the effectiveness of IPE, and advancing educational equity and balanced development.

2.1 In Alignment with the Requirements of Contemporary Societal Development

With the rapid advancement of science and technology and the accelerating pace of knowledge renewal, traditional educational models and learning methods are increasingly insufficient to meet the public's growing demands for knowledge and skills. The concept of lifelong learning emphasizes the necessity for individuals to engage in continuous learning throughout their lives in order to adapt to ongoing social transformations and developments. The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) has provided more accessible and efficient pathways for realizing lifelong learning. By leveraging AI technologies, learners can access diverse educational resources anytime and anywhere, facilitating personalized learning and training experiences. Ideological and political education (IPE), likewise, must keep pace with the times and align itself with the rhythm of societal development. Integrating AI into IPE enables it to become more closely aligned with the lived experiences of learners, thereby enhancing its relevance and effectiveness. Such integration not only facilitates the cultivation of correct worldviews, outlooks on life, and value systems among learners, but also allows IPE to better conform to the prevailing trends of the era [5].

2.2 Enhancing the Effectiveness of Ideological and Political Education

In the long course of its practice, traditional ideological and political education (IPE) has encountered persistent challenges such as rigid instructional models and slow content iteration, resulting in a disconnect between educational outcomes and the intended goals of moral and civic cultivation. The dominance of lecture-based delivery and unidirectional theoretical transmission has often failed to inspire learners' internal identification with ideological content, while the static nature of knowledge dissemination has diminished the contemporary relevance and affective appeal of IPE. The deep integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies presents new perspectives and methods for overcoming these challenges, enabling qualitative improvements in the precision, contextualization, and interactivity of IPE. First, AI, through big data analytics and machine learning algorithms, can dynamically capture learners' cognitive patterns, emotional tendencies, and value orientations, thereby constructing personalized ideological development profiles. Based on such data, educators can transcend the traditional "one-size-fits-all" model and design differentiated instructional content and strategies tailored to learners' thinking habits, interests, and learning capacities. For example, students with a preference for logical reasoning can be guided through case-based inference and problem chains to deepen their theoretical understanding; meanwhile, emotionally driven learners can benefit from narrative-based teaching and affective resonance to strengthen value alignment. This targeted, data-informed approach transforms IPE from generalized mass instruction to precision education, significantly enhancing its relevance and effectiveness. Second, immersive technologies such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) have created tangible, situational learning environments for IPE. Abstract theoretical explanations and historical narratives in traditional IPE often lack immediacy and emotional impact due to temporal and spatial distance. Through virtual simulation, however, learners can "enter" historical scenes and experience firsthand the hardships of revolutionary times; alternatively, AR technologies enable interactive engagement with digitized theoretical content and case studies within real-world settings. These immersive experiences not only deepen learners' comprehension of ideological concepts but also evoke emotional resonance, thereby elevating IPE from mere knowledge transmission to spiritual cultivation. Third, AI-supported real-time interaction and dynamic feedback mechanisms break through the temporal and spatial constraints of traditional instruction, forming a closed-loop system of "teaching–feedback–optimization." In conventional classrooms, students' questions often remain unanswered in a timely manner, and educators struggle to grasp the effectiveness of instruction. With technologies such as intelligent Q&A and learning behavior analytics, educators can monitor learners' cognitive blind spots and ideological shifts in real time. Personalized tutoring and adaptive learning path adjustments allow for the continuous optimization of the educational process. Furthermore, AI-enabled collaborative discussion spaces offer learners more open platforms for critical engagement, where theoretical understanding is progressively deepened through dialogue. This immediate and efficient interactive model shifts IPE from unidirectional transmission to co-constructive learning, thereby enhancing its overall impact. In sum, the deep integration of AI with ideological and political education not only transforms traditional pedagogical models but also achieves breakthroughs in personalized learning, contextualized engagement, and intelligent interaction. It opens new avenues for the high-quality development of IPE in the new era. Looking ahead, as AI technologies continue to evolve, they will further empower ideological education, infusing it with renewed vitality through a dynamic balance of innovation and foundational integrity.

2.3 Promoting Educational Equity and Balanced Development

The most prominent aspects can be identified in four key dimensions. The first, a multidimensional examination of historical causes. The imbalance in the allocation of educational resources in China is deeply rooted in the nation's specific historical trajectory. Since the implementation of the gradient development strategy during the reform and opening-up era, rapid economic growth has been achieved, but at the cost of generating an asynchronous pattern of regional development. The path dependence effect of this developmental model has led to a strong correlation between the input of educational resources and the level of regional economic development. From the perspective of historical institutionalism, the urban-rural dual structure established during the planned economy period was not fundamentally dismantled during the market-oriented transition. Instead, it has persisted in new forms within the education sector. The decentralization of educational finance, while mobilizing local initiatives, has also exacerbated interregional disparities in resource allocation, thus forming a historically entrenched pattern of uneven educational development. The second, hierarchical characteristics of spatial differentiation. From a geographical perspective, educational resources in China display a clear gradient distribution. At the macro level, eastern coastal provinces, leveraging their economic advantages, have developed a clustering effect of high-quality educational resources, while central and western regions remain relatively under-resourced. At the meso level, significant disparities exist between provincial capitals and non-capital cities, as well as between the core and peripheral areas of urban agglomerations. At the micro level, the educational gap between urban and rural areas is particularly pronounced. Rural schools lag behind their urban counterparts in all aspects—including teacher quality, curriculum offerings, and educational infrastructure. This spatial differentiation manifests not only in quantitative terms but also in qualitative disparities, thereby producing a multi-tiered structure of educational resource allocation. The third, structural analysis of institutional barriers. The current mechanism for allocating educational resources is constrained by deep-rooted institutional factors. Under the fiscal decentralization system, basic education funding largely depends on local government budgets, tightly linking educational supply with local financial capacity. Teacher staffing regulations limit the rational flow of qualified teachers, further intensifying interschool disparities. The regional quota system embedded in the higher education entrance examination contributes to the solidification of unequal educational opportunities. Moreover, the urban-biased standards of the education evaluation and supervision system systematically disadvantage rural schools in quality assessments. These institutional arrangements intersect and reinforce one another, forming a structural network that hinders the equitable distribution of educational resources. The fourth, multidimensional manifestations of resource allocation imbalances. The non-equilibrium in educational resource allocation is reflected across multiple dimensions. In terms of material resources, significant regional disparities exist in school infrastructure, laboratory equipment, and information technology facilities. With regard to human resources, pronounced differences are observed in teacher qualification levels, access to professional development, and salary structures. In the domain of curriculum resources, rural schools often fall behind in school-based curriculum development, elective course variety, and experiential learning opportunities. Cultural resources also show clear divergence, such as the advancement of educational philosophies, richness of campus culture, and depth of home-school collaboration. This multidimensional imbalance not only undermines the fairness of the educational process but, through intergenerational transmission, also exerts a profound impact on social mobility.

Whether in urban centers or rural areas, individuals can access abundant learning materials and personalized educational services via the Internet and AI technologies. This not only enhances the accessibility and inclusiveness of education but also serves as a critical mechanism for promoting educational equity and balanced development nationwide.

3. The Integration Pathways of Lifelong Learning and Ideological-Political Education Empowered by Artificial Intelligence

While recognizing the value of integrating lifelong learning and ideological-political education (IPE) through the empowerment of artificial intelligence (AI), the key challenge lies in translating this conceptual framework into concrete action. This necessitates that educators actively explore clear and effective integration pathways, undertaking comprehensive innovations that span from conceptual understanding to practical implementation, and from resource allocation to methodological transformation. Only through such deep and systematic integration can the synergistic potential of AI, lifelong learning, and IPE be fully unleashed, thereby injecting new vitality into talent cultivation and enhancing the overall effectiveness of educational endeavors.

3.1 Renewing Educational Concepts and Fostering an Awareness of Integrated Development

In the process of integrating lifelong learning and ideological-political education (IPE) under the empowerment of artificial intelligence (AI), updating educational concepts and fostering an awareness of integrated development

are essential prerequisites. Traditionally, IPE has often been treated as a standalone subject, confined to fixed classroom hours and specific curricular materials, with a clear separation from the broader lifelong learning system. While lifelong learning emphasizes continuous learning, it has tended to focus more on the updating of knowledge and skills, often lacking sufficient integration of ideological and moral education. In the age of AI, such compartmentalized thinking no longer meets the demands of talent development. Educators must come to a profound understanding that IPE serves as the spiritual core of lifelong learning, permeating all stages of individual growth. From shaping learners' values in basic education, to reinforcing social responsibility and professional ethics during higher education and vocational training, IPE remains indispensable. AI technologies create new opportunities for the fusion of these domains by transcending temporal and spatial constraints, enabling IPE to be integrated into lifelong learning in more flexible and dynamic ways. Online learning platforms, for instance, can deliver ideological and political case studies aligned with learners' professional content, thus simultaneously imparting practical skills and fostering reflection on ethical and societal responsibilities. At the same time, education systems must actively guide teachers to reimagine their professional roles—from mere transmitters of knowledge to facilitators of learning and shapers of values. Teachers should be encouraged to attend to learners' individual needs and employ AI technologies to analyze learners' study habits, interests, and ideological trends. This allows for the design of personalized IPE and lifelong learning programs, cultivating learners' awareness of self-directed learning and instilling the ethos of lifelong education. In doing so, learners come to recognize the essential role of ideological and political education in personal development and societal progress, and are thus motivated to consciously incorporate IPE into their lifelong learning trajectories [6].

3.2 Integrating Educational Resources and Building Intelligent Lifelong Learning Platforms

“Upholding the unity of explicit and implicit education as a coherent pedagogical approach.” [7] Exploring the ideological and political education (IPE) resources embedded within other courses and pedagogical methods is a crucial step in advancing the AI-empowered integration of lifelong learning and IPE. The consolidation of educational resources and the development of an integrated learning platform constitute a fundamental support mechanism for this fusion. At present, educational resources are dispersed across various schools, institutions, and platforms, lacking systematic integration and effective sharing mechanisms. Overcoming these barriers requires dismantling institutional and structural silos and undertaking a comprehensive, systematic integration of IPE and lifelong learning resources. On the other hand, educational institutions should inventory and digitize their internal IPE resources—encompassing textbooks, lesson plans, case studies, multimedia content, and more—in order to construct a centralized, standardized IPE resource repository. Concurrently, instructional materials from other disciplines should be integrated, with particular attention paid to uncovering the latent ideological and political elements embedded therein, thereby creating interdisciplinary resources that support integrative teaching and learning. On another level, high-quality educational resources from beyond the school context should be incorporated into the platform, such as digital exhibits from museums and memorial halls, as well as real-world case studies from enterprises. These additions help to enrich the platform's content and expand its educational functions. In the construction of this integrated learning platform, artificial intelligence technologies should be fully leveraged for intelligent resource management and personalized recommendation. Through big data analytics, learners' behavior patterns and actual learning needs can be identified, allowing the system to push targeted IPE content and lifelong learning materials. For example, learners with a strong interest in history may be guided toward ideologically relevant historical courses and documents, while working professionals may receive tailored content related to career development, workplace ethics, and political education. Moreover, the platform should include interactive features that support real-time communication among learners, and between learners and instructors, to foster intellectual engagement, knowledge exchange, and collaborative learning.

Ultimately, by integrating educational resources and constructing an intelligent, interdisciplinary learning platform, it is possible to create a more accessible, efficient, and personalized learning environment—thereby facilitating the deep convergence of lifelong learning and ideological-political education.

3.3 Innovating Pedagogical Methods to Enhance Learning Experience and Outcomes

Deeply integrate intelligent information technology into the entire process of education and teaching. [8] Innovating teaching methods is essential to enhancing the learning experience and outcomes in the integration of lifelong learning and ideological-political education (IPE) empowered by artificial intelligence (AI). Traditional pedagogical approaches predominantly rely on teacher-centered instruction, where learners passively receive knowledge, lacking autonomy, initiative, and active engagement. In the AI-driven context of integrated lifelong learning and IPE, it is imperative to leverage AI technologies to develop innovative teaching strategies that stimulate learners' motivation and participation. For instance, the use of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) can create immersive ideological and political learning environments. Learners, by using VR devices, can

experience historical events as if they were present—such as visiting virtual revolutionary memorials or engaging in simulated historical battles—which deepens their understanding of ideological content and strengthens emotional engagement. Similarly, AI-powered intelligent tutoring systems can offer personalized learning support. These systems can dynamically adjust instructional content and difficulty based on the learner's progress and level of mastery, provide real-time feedback, and assist in overcoming learning obstacles, thereby improving knowledge acquisition. Additionally, project-based and inquiry-based learning approaches can be introduced to promote experiential learning. For example, guiding learners to conduct social research projects related to IPE—through field investigations, data analysis, and report writing—helps them apply knowledge in real-world contexts. This not only enhances their practical and problem-solving skills but also fosters a deeper understanding of societal realities, reinforcing their sense of social responsibility and civic duty.

Through the adoption of innovative teaching methods, the integration of lifelong learning and IPE becomes more engaging, effective, and impactful, significantly improving both the learning experience and educational outcomes [9].

3.4 Improving the Evaluation System to Ensure the Quality of Integrated Education

Improving the evaluation system is essential for ensuring the quality of AI-empowered integration between lifelong learning and ideological-political education (IPE). Traditional assessment methods, often centered on test scores, fail to comprehensively reflect learners' performance in this integrated context. In the AI-driven learning environment, a diversified set of evaluation indicators should be established. Alongside assessing mastery of ideological theories and professional skills—through intelligent question banks and online tests—greater emphasis should be placed on learners' engagement, learning attitudes, and practical competencies. AI technologies can track online behaviors such as study duration, interaction frequency, and resource preferences to evaluate learners' initiative. Performance in practical activities—such as thematic research, community service, or project-based learning—should also be included, with assessments conducted via reports, presentations, and peer reviews to gauge knowledge application, teamwork, and problem-solving abilities. Moreover, the evaluation process should involve diverse stakeholders. In addition to teacher assessments, self-evaluation and peer evaluation can encourage learner reflection and mutual learning, fostering critical thinking and communication skills. Social evaluations—through partnerships with enterprises and communities—can further assess learners' real-world performance, including professional ethics and social responsibility.

4. Conclusion

The integration of lifelong learning and ideological-political education (IPE) empowered by artificial intelligence (AI) represents a profound transformation in the field of education, carrying significant theoretical and practical implications. By implementing a series of integration pathways, this approach actively promotes the deep convergence of lifelong learning and IPE, offering learners more accessible, efficient, and high-quality educational services. However, the integration process also presents a number of challenges, including concerns over data security and privacy, as well as the need to balance technological reliance with humanistic care. Therefore, in future development stages, educators and policymakers must continuously explore and innovate, leveraging the strengths of AI while addressing emerging issues associated with its application in educational contexts. Only through such efforts can the coordinated development of lifelong learning and IPE be achieved—ultimately contributing to the cultivation of well-rounded socialist builders and successors who are equipped with moral integrity, intellectual competence, physical fitness, aesthetic sensibility, and a strong work ethic.

References

- [1] Xi Jinping. (2021). *Selected excerpts from Xi Jinping's discourses on building a cyber power* [M]. Beijing: Central Party Literature Press, p. 119.
- [2] The Central Committee of the Communist Party of China & The State Council. (2025). *Outline of the plan for building a leading education power (2024–2035)* [EB/OL]. Retrieved from https://www.gov.cn/gongbao/2025/issue_11846/202502/content_7002799.html
- [3] Xi Jinping. (2020). *On the Party's work in publicity and ideology* [M]. Beijing: Central Party Literature Press, p. 278.
- [4] Yang, Y. (2025). Key relationships to be addressed in AI-enabled ideological and political education [J]. *Studies in Ideological and Political Education*, 41(01), 137–145.
- [5] Liu, X., Huang, Z., & Fu, Z. (2025). Innovative paths of ideological and political education for university learners from the perspective of artificial intelligence [J]. *Popular Literature and Art*, (03), 204–206.

- [6] Yu, B., Peng, C., Chen, Y., et al. (2025). Teaching reform of ideological and political education in programming courses for AI majors in application-oriented universities [J]. *Computer Education*, (02), 134–138+143.
- [7] Hu, Q., Liu, L., & Zheng, K. (2019). The new pattern of smart education under the background of the Fourth Industrial Revolution [J]. *China Educational Technology*, (03), 1–8.
- [8] Xi Jinping. (2024). *On education* [M]. Beijing: Central Party Literature Press, p. 196.
- [9] Liu, D., & Chu, Z. (2025). Exploring the value and practical path of integrating artificial intelligence into ideological and political courses in universities [J]. *Public Relations World*, (04), 29–31.
- [10] Wang, G., & Liu, C. (2025). An analysis of innovation and development in AI-empowered evaluation of curriculum-based ideological and political education [J]. *Heilongjiang Education (Higher Education Research and Evaluation)*, (02), 54–57.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).